3 (1)

C Floor

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO.3094 OF 2003.

PETITIONER : H.S. Swarnakar, S/o Shri MannulalSwarnakar Aged about 48 years, Sub Engineer, Irrigation Department, at Champa Branch Canal, Sub DivisionNo.1, Seoni, Champa, (CHHATTISGARH) and permanent R/o Village Pichhore, District Shivpuri, (MADHYA PRADESH).

P. R. No. 29/6/03
Presented by Shri Kiran Verma
dated 31/10/03

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through; The Secretary, Irrigation Department, D.K.S. Bhawant, Raipur, (CHHATTISGARH)

RECEIVED COPY.
23/10/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

2. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through ;- The Additional Secretary, Irrigation Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (MADHYA PRADESH)

3. Union of India Through; The Director, Department of personnel and training public grievances and pension, Room No.312, Lok Nayak Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Khan Market, (State Re-organistion Cell), Khan Market, New Delhi 110003.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Received Copy

(131)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 3094 OF 2003

Petitioner : H.S. Swarnakar.

Versus

Respondents : State of C.G. and others

Shri Rakesh Mohan Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Alok Bakshi, Govt. Advocate for State/respondent No.1.
Shri V.C. Ottalwar, counsel for respondent No.2.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for respondent No.3/Union of India.

ORAL ORDER

(21st February, 2006)

1. The petitioner was employed as Sub Engineer, in Irrigation Department, at Champa Branch Canal. By order dated 16.9.2002, the petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh, contrary to his option. The petitioner being resident of Village Pichhore, District Shivpuri (M.P.) wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh vide order dated 16.9.2002, the petitioner wants to make a representation to the respondents/authorities for considering his case for reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

3. In view of the sforegoing, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities. The concerned authorities are accordingly directed to consider and decide the representation, if any, and to pass order in

132

accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of representation.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge